UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/576,490	04/20/2006	Kishor Gajanan Agnihotri	27362U	2404
20529 THE NATH LA	7590 03/16/200 AW GROUP	9	EXAMINER	
112 South West	Street		CHIANG, TIMOTHY S	
Alexandria, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1796	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/16/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/576,490	AGNIHOTRI, KIS	AGNIHOTRI, KISHOR GAJANAN			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		TIMOTHY CHIANG	1796				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	CRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutely received by the Office later than three months after the mailing datent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS free to, cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. e timely filed om the mailing date of this one in the mailing date. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)[\	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20.	Anril 2007					
		is action is non-final.					
—	/=		prosecution as to th	a marite is			
٥/١	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Lx pane quayle, 1000 0.D. 11,	400 0.0. 210.				
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)🛛	Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)□	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)🖂	Claim(s) 1-21 are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
-	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
.0/		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
·		Examiner. Note the attached Offi	oc / tollon or form i	10 102.			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
2) Notic 3) Inforr	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-16, drawn to an apparatus and method for dyeing fibers using a supporting system for carrying the fibers.

Group II, claim(s) 17-20, drawn to a method for dyeing fibers in the form of a roving or of a tow of filaments.

Group III, claim(s) 21, drawn to method for preparing yarn.

These inventions or groups of inventions are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept (Rule 13.1 PCT) for the following reasons.

The document DE 30 45 647 A (D3) discloses an apparatus for dyeing fibers comprising a pre-wetting trough (see page 38, lines 4-9), a dye bath (reference 12) and a drying arrangement (see page 42, lines 18-23). The subject-matter of independent claim 1 differs from this known apparatus principally in that it comprises a supporting system for carrying and dyeing the fibers continuously and homogeneously. This feature may be considered to be the special technical feature in the sense of Rule 13.2 PCT of the first

invention or group of inventions. The problem to be solved by these special technical features may be regarded as to facilitate the transport of loose fibers through the apparatus.

The document D3 also discloses a method for dyeing fiber comprising the steps of pre-wetting, dyeing and drying the fiber in the form of yam, wherein the fiber is dyed continuously. The subject-matter of independent claim 17 differs from this known method in that the fiber is formed into a roving and then pre-wetted, dyed and dried in this form, i.e. before the roving is spun into yam. The subject-matter of independent claim 20 differs from this same known method in that the fiber is formed into a tow of filaments and then pre-wetted, dyed and dried in this form, i.e. before the filaments are spun into yarn. These features may be considered to be the special technical features of the second invention or group of inventions. The problem to be solved by these special technical features may be regarded as to permit sufficient penetration of the dye into the core of the subsequently produced yarn.

The document D3 does not disclose a method for preparing yarn.

All the features of independent claim 21, namely the blending of differently dyed and undyed fibers and spinning indigo melange yarns directly from these blended fibers, may therefore be considered to be the special technical features of the third invention or group of inventions. The problem to be solved by these special technical features may be regarded as to provide a method for preparing indigo melange yam.

The three inventions or groups of inventions thus have special technical features which are not the same and which do not correspond since they solve different technical problems. Hence the application does not meet the requirements of unity of invention according to Rules 13.1 and 13.2 PCT.

- 2. Restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper because all these inventions listed in this action are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious search and examination burden if restriction were not required because one or more of the following reasons apply:
 - (a) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification;
 - (b) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter;
 - (c) the inventions require a different field of search (for example, searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries);
 - (d) the prior art applicable to one invention would not likely be applicable to another invention;
 - (e) the inventions are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C.101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a invention to be examined even though the requirement

may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention.

If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable upon the elected invention.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

3. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims.

Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder.

Application/Control Number: 10/576,490

Art Unit: 1796

<u>All</u> claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

Page 6

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to TIMOTHY CHIANG whose telephone number is (571)270-7348. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday 9:00AM-5:00PM EST.

Application/Control Number: 10/576,490 Page 7

Art Unit: 1796

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on 571-272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Mark Kopec/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1796

/TIMOTHY CHIANG/ Examiner, Art Unit 1796 3/12/09